

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,442 02/19/2004		Charles Phillips	PHILLIPS	5640		
156	7590	08/09/2006		EXAMINER		
KIRSCHS & SCHIFF	•	TTINGER, ISRAEI	GRAY, LINI	GRAY, LINDA LAMEY		
489 FIFTH	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK, NY 10017				1734		
				DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/782,442	PHILLIPS, CHARLES		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Linda L. Gray	1734		

	Linda L. Gray	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od. On a standard Nation of Nam On		(DTOL 204)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>21</u> would be allowanon-allowable claim(s). 	able if submitted in a separate, time	ely filed amendment o	anceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
10. [

Application No. 10/782,442

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): claim 21 over Buchanan and Rouse which do not teach the lower film "detachably" mounted on the carrier sheet.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for claim 22: Buchanan at column 3, lines 28-30, teaches that the balloon is inflated via valve 11 having fill ports 15 such that valve 11 extends into the interior for inflation. The remote portion is that part of valve 11 not at the intersection of the inlet into the ballon (the rear portion bonded to the films away from the inlet into the balloon).

LINDA GRAY

PRIMARY EXAMINER